

Do not enter. (No amendment)
- Y.A. 02/15/08

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of

Pettitt (TI-28576)

Conf. No. 2019

Serial No. 09/945,295

Group Art Unit: 2625

Filed: August 31, 2001

Examiner: Hung

For: Automated Color Matching for Tiled Projection System

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This paper is presented in response to the Office Action mailed October 24, 2007. Reconsideration of this application, considering the arguments presented in this paper, is respectfully requested.

Claims 1, 4 through 13, 16 and 18 are in this case. No claim is amended.

Claim 1 was finally rejected under §103 as unpatentable over the Oguchi et al. reference¹ in view of the Mendelson et al. reference². Claims 4, 10, 12, 13, and 16 were finally rejected under §103 as unpatentable over the Oguchi et al. reference in view of the Mendelson et al. reference, and further in view of the Sato reference³. Claim 5 was finally rejected under §103 as

¹ U.S. Patent No. 6,340,976 B1, issued January 22, 2002 to Oguchi et al., from an application filed August 17, 1999 via PCT International Application PCT/JP98/01709 filed April 15, 1998.

² U.S. Patent No. 6,559,826 B1, issued May 6, 2003 to Mendelson et al., from an application filed February 10, 2000, and which is apparently a continuation-in-part of an application No. 09/187,161, filed on November 6, 1998, now abandoned.

³ U.S. Patent No. 6,467,910, issued October 22, 2002 to Sato.